# Full-Gradient Representation for Neural Network Visualization

Suraj Srinivas Francois Fleuret Idiap Research Institute & EPFL





### Why Interpretability for Deep Learning?



#### Required for human-in-the-loop decision-making



#### Why Interpretability for Deep Learning?



Required for human engineers to build better models

### Saliency Maps for Interpretability



But what is "importance"?

#### Input-gradients for Saliency

Saliency map - S







Neural network

$$f(\mathbf{x})$$

 $S = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x})$ 

- Clear connection to neural network function
- Saliency maps can be noisy and 'uninterpretable'

## Wild West of Saliency Algorithms

- 1. Input-Gradients
- 2. Guided Backprop
- 3. Deconvolution
- 4. Grad-CAM
- 5. Integrated gradients
- 6. DeepLIFT
- 7. Local Relevance Propagation
- 8. Deep Taylor Decomposition

There is no single formal definition of saliency / feature importance accepted in the community.

#### Two Broad notions of Importance

• Local importance (Weak dependence on inputs)

"A pixel is important if slightly changing that pixel, drastically affects model output"

• **Global importance** (Completeness with a baseline)

"All pixels contribute numerically to the model output. The importance of a pixel is the extent of its contribution to the output." E.g.: output = (contributions of) pixel1 + pixel2 + pixel3

#### The Nature of Importances



Sum of importances of pixels in the group  $\neq$  Importance of group of pixels

#### An Impossibility Theorem

For any piecewise linear function, it is **impossible** to obtain a saliency map that satisfies both **weak dependence** and **completeness with a baseline**.

**Why?** Saliency maps are **not expressive enough** to capture the complex non-linear interactions within neural networks.

## Full-Gradients

#### **Full-Gradients**

For any neural network f(.) the following holds locally:

#### **Neural Network Biases**



#### **Properties of Full-gradients**

- Satisfies both **weak dependence** and **completeness with a baseline**, since full-gradients are more expressive than saliency maps
- Does not suffer from non-attribution due to **saturation.** Many input-gradient methods provide zero attribution in regions of zero gradient.
- **Fully sensitive** to changes in underlying function mapping. Some methods (e.g.: guided backprop) do not change their attribution even when some layers are randomized.

#### **Full-Gradients for Convolutional Nets**



Naturally incorporates importance of a pixel at multiple receptive fields!

#### FullGrad Aggregation



#### FullGrad Saliency Maps









Image



Input-gradients



FullGrad (Ours)







#### **Quantitative Results**





#### Remove and Retrain (ROAR) test

**Pixel perturbation test** 

#### Conclusion

- We have introduced a new tool called **full-gradient representation** useful for visualizing neural network responses
- For convolutional nets, **FullGrad** saliency map naturally captures the importance of a pixel at multiple scales / contexts
- **FullGrad** better identifies important image pixels than other methods

Code: <u>https://github.com/idiap/fullgrad-saliency</u>

# Thank you