## Entity Linking via Low-rank Subspaces

# **Akhil Arora**, Alberto García-Durán, and Bob West SMLD

November 13, 2019









### "Michael Jordan is one of the leading figures in machine learning, and in 2016 Science reported him as the world's most influential computer scientist."





### "Michael Jordan is one of the leading figures in machine learning, and in 2016 <u>Science</u> reported him as the world's most influential computer scientist."







"Michael Jordan is one of the leading figures in machine learning, and in 2016 <u>Science</u> reported him as the world's most influential computer scientist."







"Michael Jordan is one of the leading figures in machine learning, and in 2016 <u>Science</u> reported him as the world's most influential computer scientist."





en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael\_I.\_Jordan



<u>"Michael Jordan</u> is one of the leading figures in machine learning, and in 2016 <u>Science</u> reported him as the world's most influential computer scientist."

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Science\_(journal)





• Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps





• Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps

| Candidate Entity            | Prior P(e m) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Michael_Jordan              | 0.997521     |
| Michael_IJordan             | 0.000826     |
| Michael_Jordan_statue       | 0.000826     |
| Michael_Jordan_(footballer) | 0.000826     |





• Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps

| Candidate Entity |                 | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan   |                 | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael <u></u>  | Candidate Enti  | ty  | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo       | Science         |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord     | Science_(journa | al) | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                  | Science_Chann   | el  | 0.00503   | 6  |
|                  | "S              | cie | nce"      |    |





- Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps
  - High quality candidate generation
  - Prior information: a strong feature

| Candidate Entity     |                 | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan       |                 | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael <sub>.</sub> | Candidate Enti  | ty  | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo           | Science         |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord         | Science_(journa | al) | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                      | Science_Chann   | el  | 0.00503   | 6  |
|                      | "S              | cie | nce"      |    |





- Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps
  - High quality candidate generation
  - Prior information: a strong feature
- Other Features:
  - Local/Global context
  - Coherence in disambiguated entities

| "Michael | Jordan" |
|----------|---------|
|          |         |

| Candidate Entity     |                    | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan       |                    | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael <sub>.</sub> | Candidate Entity   |     | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo           | Science            |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord         | Science_(journal)  |     | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                      | Science_Channel 0. |     | 0.00503   | 6  |
| "Science"            |                    |     |           |    |



- Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps
  - High quality candidate generation
  - Prior information: a strong feature
- Other Features:
  - Local/Global context
  - Coherence in disambiguated entities
- Sophisticated Supervised Models
  - XGBoost
  - Deep Neural Networks

| Candidate Entity |                        | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan   |                        | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael          | Candidate Entity       |     | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo       | Science                |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord     | Science_(journa        | al) | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                  | Science_Channel 0.0050 |     | 0.00503   | 6  |
|                  | "S                     | cie | nce"      |    |



- Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps
  - High quality candidate generation
  - Prior information: a strong feature
- Other Features:
  - Local/Global context
  - Coherence in disambiguated entities
- Sophisticated Supervised Models
  - XGBoost

Sky is the limit 🙂!

Deep Neural Networks

| Candidate Entity |                          | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan   |                          | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael_         | Candidate Entity         |     | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo       | Science                  |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord     | Science_(journal)        |     | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                  | Science_Channel 0.005036 |     | 6         |    |
| "Science"        |                          |     |           |    |



- Use Dictionaries/Alias-tables/Probability-Maps
  - High quality candidate generation
  - Prior information: a strong feature
- Other Features:
  - Local/Global context
  - Coherence in disambiguated entities
- Sophisticated Supervised Models
  - XGBoost

- Sky is the limit 🙂!
- Deep Neural Networks

| Candidate Entity |                          | Pri | or P(e m) |    |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----------|----|
| Michael_Jordan   |                          | 0   | .997521   |    |
| Michael_         | Candidate Entity         |     | Prior P(e | m) |
| Michael_Jo       | Science                  |     | 0.73795   | 5  |
| Michael_Jord     | Science_(journal)        |     | 0.20715   | 1  |
|                  | Science_Channel 0.005036 |     | 6         |    |
| "Science"        |                          |     |           |    |







• Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?





- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries





- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries

#### • Can existing SOTA methods operate at Web Scale?





- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries
- Can existing SOTA methods operate at Web Scale?
  - We can only hope!





- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries
- Can existing SOTA methods operate at Web Scale?







- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries

### Can existing SOTA methods operate at Web Scale?



- NAACL'18 SOTA: 9 hours to train using 16 threads on CoNLL benchmark of only 18K entity mentions
  - Some DL methods take more than 1 day





- Are dictionaries naturally available across use-cases?
  - Lack of annotated data
    - Specialized Domains: Medical, Scientific, Legal, Enterprise specific corpora
  - Noisy and rapidly evolving annotated data
    - Web queries

### • Can existing SOTA methods operate at Web Scale?



- NAACL'18 SOTA: 9 hours to train using 16 threads on CoNLL benchmark of only 18K entity mentions
  - Some DL methods take more than 1 day

#### Scalable EL without Annotated Data





### Entity Linking without Annotated Data

• Candidate generator

- Entity embeddings
  - Learn from the underlying graph
  - Learn from textual descriptions of entities
- Collective disambiguation
  - Ensures "topical coherence" among entities in a document





#### **Candidate Generation**

#### • Simple yet practical

- Candidates contain all tokens of the mention
- Example: For mention "Michael Jordan"
  - Michael Jordan (basketball player) and Michael Jordan (computer scientist) are candidates
  - Michael Jackson is not
- Rank candidates using entity degree (relates to popularity)



### **Candidate Generation**

#### • Simple yet practical

- Candidates contain all tokens of the mention
- Example: For mention "Michael Jordan"
  - Michael Jordan (basketball player) and Michael Jordan (computer scientist) are candidates
  - Michael Jackson is not
- Rank candidates using entity degree (relates to popularity)
- Aliases of entity names to boost recall







#### **Eigenthemes for Entity Disambiguation**







# Subspace captures the main "theme" of a document

| "Science"         | "Michael Jordan"            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Candidate Entity  | Candidate Entity            |
| Science           | Michael_Jordan              |
| Science_(journal) | Michael_IJordan             |
| Science_Channel   | Michael_Jordan_statue       |
|                   | Michael_Jordan_(footballer) |





# Subspace captures the main "theme" of a document

| "Science"         | "Michael Jordan"            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Candidate Entity  | Candidate Entity            |
| Science           | Michael_Jordan              |
| Science_(journal) | Michael_IJordan             |
| Science_Channel   | Michael_Jordan_statue       |
|                   | Michael Jordan (footballer) |

Top-k d-dimensional eigen vectors of the covariance matrix of candidate entity embeddings in a document





# Subspace captures the main "theme" of a document

| "Science"         | "Michael Jordan"            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Candidate Entity  | Candidate Entity            |
| Science           | Michael_Jordan              |
| Science_(journal) | Michael_IJordan             |
| Science_Channel   | Michael_Jordan_statue       |
|                   | Michael Jordan (footballer) |

Top-k d-dimensional eigen vectors of the covariance matrix of candidate entity embeddings in a document







# Subspace captures the main "theme" of a document

| "Science"         | "Michael Jordan"            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Candidate Entity  | Candidate Entity            |
| Science           | Michael_Jordan              |
| Science_(journal) | Michael_IJordan             |
| Science_Channel   | Michael_Jordan_statue       |
|                   | Michael Jordan (footballer) |

Top-k d-dimensional eigen vectors of the covariance matrix of candidate entity embeddings in a document



External signals to enrich subspace learning

Eigendecomposition of the weighted covariance matrix





#### Subspace captures the main "theme" of a document

| "Science"         | "Michael Jordan"            |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Candidate Entity  | Candidate Entity            |
| Science           | Michael_Jordan              |
| Science_(journal) | Michael_IJordan             |
| Science_Channel   | Michael_Jordan_statue       |
|                   | Michael Jordan (footballer) |

Top-k d-dimensional eigen vectors of the covariance matrix of candidate entity embeddings in a document



External signals to enrich subspace learning

- Eigendecomposition of the weighted covariance matrix
- Entity embeddings with high weights act as "anchor embeddings"
  - Prioritized in subspace learning
- Weighting scheme: Inverse of the rank computed using entity degree information





#### Setup

- Datasets
  - CoNLL: Most popular benchmark dataset for EL, based on CoNLL 2003 shared task
  - More in the Paper:
    - WNED (Wiki and Clueweb): Benchmarks from English Wikipedia and Clueweb corpora
    - Wikilinks-Random: Tables extracted from English Wikipedia
- Referent KB: Wikidata





#### Setup

- Datasets
  - CoNLL: Most popular benchmark dataset for EL, based on CoNLL 2003 shared task
  - More in the Paper:
    - WNED (Wiki and Clueweb): Benchmarks from English Wikipedia and Clueweb corpora
    - Wikilinks-Random: Tables extracted from English Wikipedia
- Referent KB: Wikidata
- Embeddings:
  - Words: Pre-trained Word2vec
  - Entity embeddings:
    - Deepwalk trained on Wikidata
    - Average of Word2vec vectors of entity description words





#### **Tuning on CoNLL-Val**







#### **Baselines**

#### • NameMatch:

- Retrieves all entities whose names match exactly with the mention string
- Ties are broken using entity degree





#### Baselines

#### • NameMatch:

- Retrieves all entities whose names match exactly with the mention string
- Ties are broken using entity degree
- Degree:
  - Candidates are ranked based on entity degree
  - Highest degree candidate entity is the prediction for a given mention

#### • Avg and WAvg:

- (Weighted)Avg of candidate embeddings in a document as its representation
- Most similar candidate (Cosine Sim) with the doc representation is the prediction





#### Baselines

#### • NameMatch:

- Retrieves all entities whose names match exactly with the mention string
- Ties are broken using entity degree
- Degree:
  - Candidates are ranked based on entity degree
  - Highest degree candidate entity is the prediction for a given mention
- Avg and WAvg:
  - (Weighted)Avg of candidate embeddings in a document as its representation
  - Most similar candidate (Cosine Sim) with the doc representation is the prediction
- Le and Titov: Uses weak supervision or distant learning
  - Candidate entities of a mention (which might miss the 'true' entity) are scored higher than a number of randomly sampled entities
  - Rank based on similarity between candidates and the mention context





#### Is Eigenthemes Effective?

| Datacat    | Precision@1 |       |       |       |        |        |         |
|------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Dataset    | NAMEMATCH   | Avg   | Eigen | WAVG  | Degree | WEigen | Ceiling |
| CoNLL-Test | 0.412       | 0.394 | 0.473 | 0.488 | 0.571  | 0.617  | 0.824   |

#### dlab



#### Is Eigenthemes Effective?

Easy Mentions: Degree ranks gold entity at the top



| Dataset    |           | recision@ | ecision@1 |       |        |        |         |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Dataset    | NAMEMATCH | Avg       | Eigen     | WAvg  | Degree | WEIGEN | Ceiling |
| CoNLL-Test | 0.412     | 0.394     | 0.473     | 0.488 | 0.571  | 0.617  | 0.824   |

#### dlab



### Is Eigenthemes Effective?

Easy Mentions: Degree ranks gold entity at the top

| Datasat    | Precision@1 |       |       |       |        |        |         |
|------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Dataset    | NAMEMATCH   | Avg   | Eigen | WAvg  | Degree | WEigen | Ceiling |
| CoNLL-Test | 0.412       | 0.394 | 0.473 | 0.488 | 0.571  | 0.617  | 0.824   |

#### Precision@1 in Le and Titov's CoNLL Test Dataset

| Technique                         | NAMEMATCH | τMIL-ND | Freebase<br>Prominence | Degree |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|--------|
| Le and Titov's implementation[21] | 0.150     | 0.389   | -                      | -      |
| Our Implementation                | 0.299     | NA      | 0.326                  | 0.399  |



not at the top using degree

#### dlab



### Is Eigenthemes Effective?

Easy Mentions: Degree ranks gold entity at the top

| Datasat Precision@1 |           |       |       |       |        |        |         |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|
| Dataset             | NAMEMATCH | Avg   | Eigen | WAvg  | Degree | WEigen | Ceiling |
| CoNLL-Test          | 0.412     | 0.394 | 0.473 | 0.488 | 0.571  | 0.617  | 0.824   |

#### Precision@1 in Le and Titov's CoNLL Test Dataset

| Technique                         | NAMEMATCH | τMIL-ND | Freebase<br>Prominence | Degree |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|------------------------|--------|
| Le and Titov's implementation[21] | 0.150     | 0.389   | -                      | -      |
| Our Implementation                | 0.299     | NA      | 0.326                  | 0.399  |

Using Eigenthemes score as a feature for Supervised models portrays significant performance improvements



not at the top using degree





#### Takeaways



A single hyperparameter (#components) – ease of tuning for unannotated data

- Light-weight and scalable
  - < 10 min for CoNLL, approx. 20 times faster than existing SOTA</p>
- Language independence



Ability to incorporate external signals as weights





#### Takeaways



- Light-weight and scalable
  - < 10 min for CoNLL, approx. 20 times faster than existing SOTA</p>
- Language independence



Ability to incorporate external signals as weights



Early work that just scratches the surface





### Takeaways



A single hyperparameter (#components) – ease of tuning for unannotated data

- Light-weight and scalable
  - < 10 min for CoNLL, approx. 20 times faster than existing SOTA</p>
- Language independence



Ability to incorporate external signals as weights



- Early work that just scratches the surface
  - Candidate generation too simplistic
  - Quality of entity embeddings can be improved
  - Other tricks to boost performance ...





#### **THANK YOU**

Questions?