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## Problem: Matrix Completion

Goal: Recover a matrix from a subset of measurements.

e.g. random sample of $10 \%$ of entries

## Applications

- Collaborative filtering: predict interests of a user from preferences from many users (e.g. Netflix Problem)
- Triangulation of distances from an incomplete network (e.g. wireless network)
- Multitask learning: leverage commonalities between multiple learning tasks


## Problem Statement

Given a subset $\Omega$ of observations of a matrix $X$, estimate the missing entries.
(i) ill-posed problem $\rightarrow$ assume $X$ is low rank, use regularizer to encourage low rank structure
(ii) regularization with rank operator is NP hard $\rightarrow$ use convex approximation, e.g. trace norm (sum of singular values)

$$
\min _{W}\|\Omega(W)-\Omega(X)\|_{F}^{2}+\lambda\|W\|_{t r}
$$

## Trace Norm Regularization

- Trace norm is the tightest convex relaxation of rank operator on the spectral norm unit ball. [Fazel, Hindi \& Boyd 2001]
- Optimization can be solved efficiently using proximal gradient methods.
- Can be shown that this method finds true underlying matrix with high probability.

Goal: can we improve on the performance using other regularizers?

## The Vector $k$-Support Norm

- The $k$-support norm is a regularizer used in sparse vector estimation problems. [Argyriou, Foygel \& Srebro 2012]
- For $k \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$, unit ball is :

$$
\operatorname{co}\left\{w: \operatorname{card}(w) \leq k,\|w\|_{2} \leq 1\right\}
$$

- Includes $\|\cdot\|_{1}(k=1)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{2}(k=d)$.
- Dual norm is the $\ell_{2}$-norm of the largest $k$ components of a vector.


## Vector $k$-Support Unit Balls

- unit balls in $\mathbb{R}^{3}(k=1,2,3)$

- convex hull interpretation



## The Spectral $k$-Support Norm

Extend the $k$-support norm to matrices.

- The $k$-support norm is a symmetric gauge function: induces the spectral $k$-support norm [von Neumann 1937]

$$
\|W\|_{(k)}=\left\|\left(\sigma_{1}(W), \ldots, \sigma_{d}(W)\right)\right\|_{(k)}
$$

- Unit ball is given by

$$
\operatorname{co}\left\{W: \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq k,\|W\|_{F} \leq 1\right\}
$$

- Includes $\|\cdot\|_{t r}(k=1)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{F}(k=d)$.
[McDonald, Pontil \& Stamos 2014]


## Optimization

- The $k$-support norm can be written as

$$
\|w\|_{(k)}=\inf _{\theta \in \Theta} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{w_{i}^{2}}{\theta_{i}}}, \quad \Theta=\left\{0<\theta_{i} \leq 1, \sum_{i} \theta_{i} \leq k\right\}
$$

- Coordinate-wise separable using Lagrange multipliers.
- The norm can be computed in $\mathcal{O}(d \log d)$ time as

$$
\|w\|_{(k)}^{2}=\left\|w_{[1: r]}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{k-r}\left\|w_{(r: d]}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{1}^{2} .
$$

- Similar computation for proximity operator of squared norm: can use proximal gradient methods to solve optimization.
- Matrix case follows using SVD.


## Extension: The $(k, p)$-Support Norm

Fit the curvature of the underlying model.

- For $p \in[1, \infty]$ define the vector $(k, p)$-support norm by its unit ball

$$
\operatorname{co}\left\{w: \operatorname{card}(w) \leq k,\|w\|_{p} \leq 1\right\}
$$

- The dual norm is the $\ell_{q}$-norm of the $k$ largest components $\left(\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1\right)$.
(Work in progress.)


## The Spectral $(k, p)$-Support Norm

Fit the curvature of the underlying spectrum.

- For $p \in[1, \infty]$ the spectral $(k, p)$-support unit ball is defined in terms of the Schatten $p$-norm

$$
\operatorname{co}\left\{W: \operatorname{rank}(W) \leq k,\|W\|_{p} \leq 1\right\}
$$

- Von Neumann again: $\|W\|_{(k, p)}=\|\sigma(W)\|_{(k, p)}$.


## Optimization

- For $p \in(1, \infty)$ the $(k, p)$-support norm can be computed as

$$
\|w\|_{(k, p)}^{p}=\left\|w_{[1: r]}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{1}{(k-r)^{p / q}}\left\|w_{(r: d]}^{\downarrow}\right\|_{1}^{p} .
$$

- For $p=1$ we recover the $\ell_{1}$ norm for all $k$, and for $p=\infty$ we have

$$
\|w\|_{(k, \infty)}=\max \left(\|w\|_{\infty}, \frac{1}{k}\|w\|_{1}\right) .
$$

- For $p \in(1, \infty)$, we solve the constrained problem

$$
\underset{s}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\langle s, \nabla \ell(w)\rangle:\|s\|_{(k, p)} \leq \alpha\right\} .
$$

- For $p=\infty$ we can compute the projection onto the unit ball: can use proximal gradient methods.


## Experiments: Matrix Completion

Benchmark datasets: MovieLens (movies), Jester (jokes)

| dataset | norm | test error | $k$ | $p$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MovieLens 100k | trace | 0.2017 | - | - |
|  | $k$-support | 0.1990 | 1.87 | - |
|  | $(k, p)$-support | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 8 8}$ | 2.00 | 1.16 |
| Jester 1 | trace | 0.1752 | - | - |
|  | $k$-support | 0.1739 | 6.38 | - |
|  | $(k, p)$-support | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 3 1}$ | 2.00 | 6.50 |
| Jester 3 | trace | 0.1959 | - | - |
|  | $k$-support | 0.1942 | 2.13 | - |
|  | $(k, p)$-support | $\mathbf{0 . 1 9 3 2}$ | 3.00 | 1.14 |

Note: $k=1$ is trace norm, $p=2$ is spectral $k$-support norm.

## Role of $p$ in $(k, p)$-Support Norm

Spectral ( $k, p$ )-support norm:

- Intuition: for large $p$, the $\ell_{p}$ norm of a vector is increasingly dominated by the largest components.
- Regularization with larger values of $p$ encourages matrices with flatter spectrum.

Spectrum of synthetic rank 5 matrix with different regularizers:


## Extension: Connection to the Cluster Norm

- Using the infimum formulation

$$
\|w\|_{\text {box }}=\inf _{\theta \in \Theta} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{w_{i}^{2}}{\theta_{i}}}, \quad \Theta=\left\{a<\theta_{i} \leq b, \sum_{i} \theta_{i} \leq c\right\} .
$$

- Box norm is a perturbation of the $k$-support norm $\left(k=\frac{d-c a}{b-a}\right)$

$$
\|w\|_{\text {box }}^{2}=\min _{u, v}\left\{\frac{1}{a}\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{b-a}\|v\|_{(k)}^{2}\right\}
$$

- Matrix case: we recover the cluster norm [Jacob, Bach, \& Vert] used in multitask learning.


## Role of $a, c$ in Box Norm

Simulated datasets:


Low signal/high noise: high a.


High rank of underlying matrix: high $k$.

## Further Work

- Statistical bounds on the performance of the norms: various results known [Chatterjee, Chen \& Banerjee 2014, Maurer \& Pontil 2012, Richard, Obozinksi \& Vert 2014]
- Infimum formulation of $(k, p)$-support norm: known for $p \in[1,2]$, unclear for $p \in(2, \infty]$.
- Study the family of norms for a general choice of the parameter set $\Theta$. [Micchelli, Morales \& Pontil 2013]


## Conclusion

- Spectral $k$-support norm as regularizer for low rank matrix learning
- Spectral $(k, p)$-support norm allows us to learn curvature of the spectrum
- Box norm as perturbation of $k$-support norm
- Connection to multitask learning cluster norm
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